

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
GREENEVILLE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	2:18-CR-00161-DCLC-CRW
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
CODY ALLEN ARNOLD,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before the Court is Defendant's *pro se* Motion to Reduce Sentence under United States Sentencing Guideline Amendment 821 [Doc. 928].

**I. BACKGROUND**

On November 21, 2019, Defendant pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy to distribute 50 grams or more of methamphetamine [See Docs. 574, 596]. Based on a total offense level of 29 and a criminal history category of III, Defendant's guideline range was 108 to 135 months [Doc. 680, ¶ 60]. Due to the 10-year mandatory minimum in effect at the time of sentencing, however, Defendant's effective guideline range was 120 to 135 months. *See* U.S.S.G. § 5G1.1(c)(2). The Court sentenced Defendant to the mandatory term of imprisonment of 120 months [Doc. 764]. Defendant is currently housed at FCI Ashland with a projected release date of March 23, 2027. *See* Bureau of Prisons, <https://www.bop.gov/inmateloc/> (last visited May 3, 2024). He now seeks a sentence reduction pursuant to Guideline Amendment 821 [Doc. 928].

**II. ANALYSIS**

"A district court may modify a defendant's sentence only as provided by statute." *United*

*States v. Johnson*, 564 F.3d 419, 421 (6th Cir. 2009) (citation omitted). Relevant here, 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) authorizes a court to impose a sentence reduction when a defendant “has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission . . . after considering the factors set forth in [18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)] to the extent that they are applicable, if such a reduction is consistent with applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission.” 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).

Amendment 821, which took effect on November 1, 2023, altered the application of the guidelines with respect to offenders who earned criminal history “status” points based on the commission of an offense while serving a criminal justice sentence or offenders with zero criminal history points at the time of sentencing (“zero-point offenders”). Composed of two parts, Amendment 821 amended U.S.S.G. § 4A1.1 to reduce or eliminate status points and created U.S.S.G. § 4C1.1 to reduce the offense level for zero-point offenders by two levels. Pursuant to § 4A1.1, status points are eliminated for defendants with six or fewer criminal history points, and one status point, rather than two, are applied for defendants with more than six criminal history points.

Defendant moves for a sentence reduction under the first part of Amendment 821, U.S.S.G. § 4A1.1. At the time of sentencing, Defendant received two status points for committing the offense while under a criminal justice sentence in this district [Doc. 680, ¶ 38]. If sentenced today, Defendant would receive no status point under U.S.S.G. § 4A1.1, resulting in a total of 3 criminal history points rather than 5. Defendant would be in criminal history category II and his guideline range would be 97 to 121 months. However, the 120-month statutory mandatory minimum sentence was not lowered by the Amendment. Accordingly, Defendant is ineligible for a sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).

### **III. CONCLUSION**

For the reasons stated herein, Defendant's motion [Doc. 928] is **DENIED**.

**SO ORDERED:**

s/ Clifton L. Corker  
United States District Judge